International Planning Competition

Milan Ježek

Outline

- IPC 2014 overview
- Deterministic track
- Other tracks
- Challenge what is my intention
- Possible approaches

IPC 2014 - Overview

- Nearly biennial event
- In the context of the ICAPS-14, Portsmouth (USA)
- •Goals:
- •Empirical comparison of planning systems
- •Highlighting challenges to the community
- New directions for research
- •New links with other fields of Al
- New data sets for benchmarks

IPC 2014 – Important **dates** (for deterministic track)

- June 13: Call for **Participation** available
- June 13: Call for **Domains** available
- July 13: Competition Rules are now available
- Oct, 31, 13: Registration deadline
- Nov, 15, 13: **Demo problems,** supporting **tools**
- Nov, 15, 13: Domain submission deadline
- Jan, 17, 14: Planners submission deadline
- March, 28, 14: Papers submission deadline

Four different Tracks

- Deterministic Track
- Learning Track
- Probabilistic Planning Track
 Continuous
- Probabilistic Planning Track
 Discrete

Deterministic Track

- Three tracks
 - Sequential track
 - Temporal track
 - Preferences track
- Two different subtracks for each track
 - Optimal, Satisficing subtracks
- Additional two subtracks for Sequential track
 - Multi-core, Agile subtracks

Deterministic - Sequential

- Classical STRIPS planning (non-durative actions)
- Non-negative costs
- Negative preconditions and conditional effects
- Reasonable time, low-cost plans
- Core features: STRIPS, action costs, negative preconditions, conditional effects
- Optional features: ADL, derived predicates
- Total cost of each plan is the sum of the costs of its actions
- Objective function: minimize total cost

Deterministic - Temporal

- Temporal planning with metric constraints
- Core features: STRIPS, durative actions, metric quantities
- Optional features: ADL, derived predicates
- Objective function: Minimize makespan

Deterministic - Preferences

- Planning with soft goals
 - Valid plan does not have to achieve all goals
 - Not achieving a goal implies a certain **penalization** added to the cost of the plan
 - Cost of the plan is a combination of the total actions cost and penalizations
- Core features: STRIPS, action costs, goal utilities, metric quantities
- Optional features: ADL, derived predicates
- Objective function: Minimize total cost

Optimal variants

- 30 minutes to solve each problem
- What matters is only whether the problem was **solved or not**
- Plans have to be optimal
- At least one plan in a given domain is non-optimal
 => all results of that planner in that domain are ignored
- At least one non-optimal plan on at least two different domains
 - => the planner is **disqualified**
- Objective function: maximize number of solved problems

Satisficing/multi-core variants

- 30 minutes to solve each problem.
- What matters is only whether the problem was **solved or not**
- Optimal/Best solution has quality Q*
- Planner finds a plan with quality Q<Q*
- Quality ratio is Q/Q^*
- Objective function: maximize sum of quality ratios

Sequential Agile variant

- Satisficing solution as soon as possible
- Very short amount of CPU time available
- Domains and problems from real-world applications
- The aim to "simulate" planning techniques in a real environment
- Objective function: minimize CPU time

Sequential Agile variant

- **5 minutes** to solve each problem.
- The **quality** of the resulting plans is **not important**
- What matters is only whether the problem was solved or not within 5 mins, and the CPU time required.
- Minimum time required by any planner is T*
- Planner solves the problem in time T
- For solved problem gets the planner score 1/(1 + log10(T/T*))
- For not solved problem gets the planner score of 0
- Objective function: maximize sum of scores over all problems

Sequential Multi-core variant

- **Growing interest** in multi-core/parallel computation in the planning community
- Different cores simultaneously and/or with different threads on each core
- No GPU available
- Only one computer with a number of cores available (four cores expected)

Resources

- Demo problems
- Plan Validator for PDDL VAL: The Plan Validator
- PDDL 3.1 description

Call for **Domains**

- Negative preconditions and/or conditional effects encouraged
- Relation to real applications desirable
- Only one entry per team allowed

Some of **demo problems**

- Sokoban
- TSP
- Elevators
- Transport

The evaluation process

- Competitors will be given a set of representative domain/problem instances to test their planners on their own machines.
- Final version of planners will be run on the actual competition domains/problems
 unknown to the competitors till this time

Participation

- The **focus** is **on data-collection** and **presentation**, with **interpretation of results** being understated
- The **real goal** is to make **as much data as possible** available to the community
- All competitors must **submit an abstract** (max. 300 words) and a 4-page paper describing their planners
- All **source codes** of planners will be **public**

Learning Track The Quality subtrack

- Domains using the plan quality evaluation from the deterministic track
- Comparison of learning versus non-learning planners
- Quality metric from the recent deterministic competitions
- Three awards: overall, basic solver, and best learner

Learning Track The Quality subtrack

- The learning stage
 - The domain definition
 - The problem generator
 - Domain-specific Control Knowledge
 - Sets of training files
- The testing stage

Learning Track The Integrated Execution subtrack

- Planner generates plans as part of a much larger system
- Learning and planning within the context of a simple execution loop
- Focus on fully observable, discrete, non-adversarial, deterministic, single-agent domains
- Awards: **best overall** learner, **most adaptable** learner, **best anytime** learner

Probabilistic Planning Track Continuous

- Domains written in RDDL or RDDL2
- Examples:
 - Traffic Control
 - Mars Rover



Probabilistic Planning Track Discrete

- Domains written in RDDL and various translations
- Examples:
 - Game of life
 - Elevators
 - Traffic

My **motivaton** is

- To practice my skills in planning
- To solve declaratively described problems
- To try out existing tools

My intention

- Trying out of some existing planners
- Examination of currently used techniques
- Creation of my own basic planner
 - Sequential deterministic track
 - Satisfiable subtrack
 - Support for core features
 - Usage of some interesting techniques

Time complexity

- Using negative pre-/post-conditions
- Existence of a plan:
 - EXPSPACE-c
- Existence of a plan for given maximal makespan:
 - NEXPTIME-c

Existing techniques

- State/Plan space planning
- Planning with planning graph
- Forward search
- Backward search (lifted, strips)
- CSP, SAT
- Domain knowledge
- Abstraction, heuristics

Some preferred techniques

- Plan space planning
- Local changes
- Domain knowledge
- Abstraction
- CSP/SAT for some subproblems

Thank you for your attention

> Questions && Answers

More information on:

ipc.icaps-conference.org

Sources

- ICAPS Competitions webpage http://ipc.icaps-conference.org/
- Fast-Forward Domain Collection by Joerg Hoffmann http://fai.cs.uni-saarland.de/hoffmann/ff-domains.html
- VAL: The Plan Validator

http://www.inf.kcl.ac.uk/research/groups/PLANNING/index.php?op tion=com_content&id=70&Itemid=77

- Action description language (ADL) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Action_description_language
- Lectures on Planning and Scheduling http://ktiml.mff.cuni.cz/~bartak/planovani/