ON SAT-BASED APPROACHES FOR MULTI-AGENT PATH FINDING WITH THE SUM-OF-COSTS OBJECTIVE

MATOUŠ MAŘÍK

SEMINAR OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE II

PRESENTATION STRUCTURE



MAPF – multi-agent path finding

real life motivation

- real life motivation
- environment abstraction graph (with constant distances)

- real life motivation
- environment abstraction graph (with constant distances)



- real life motivation
- environment abstraction graph (with constant distances)
- goal plan of movements



- real life motivation
- environment abstraction graph (with constant distances)
- goal plan of movements
- state-space search



- real life motivation
- environment abstraction graph (with constant distances)
- goal plan of movements
- state-space search
- Boolean satisfiability



- real life motivation
- environment abstraction graph (with constant distances)
- goal plan of movements
- state-space search
- Boolean satisfiability
- optimality:
 - Makespan
 - Sum of Costs



"finding a collision-free paths for a set of agents"

- "finding a collision-free paths for a set of agents"
- **pair** (G, A)
 - **graph** G = (V, E)
 - set of agents $A = \{(s_i, g_i)\}$

 $\blacksquare s, g \in V$

- "finding a collision-free paths for a set of agents"
- pair (G, A)graph G = (V, E)set of agents $A = \{(s_i, g_i)\}$ $s, g \in V$

discretized time – time steps

"finding a collision-free paths for a set of agents"

agent actions – move or wait

in each time step

- **pair** (G, A)
 - **graph** G = (V, E)
 - set of agents $A = \{(s_i, g_i)\}$

 $s,g \in V$

discretized time – time steps

- "finding a collision-free paths for a set of agents"
- **pair** (G, A)
 - **graph** G = (V, E)
 - set of agents $A = \{(s_i, g_i)\}$

 $s,g \in V$

discretized time – time steps

- agent actions move or wait
 - in each time step

- task find valid plan for each agent
 - sequence of actions
 - sequence of locations

 $\blacksquare \pi_i \text{ plan for agent } a_i$

 $\blacksquare \pi_i(t) \text{ location of agent at time } t$

- $\blacksquare \pi_i \text{ plan for agent } a_i$
- **\pi_i(t)** location of agent at time t

valid solution of MAPF problem is a plan:

$$\pi = \bigcup_{a_i \in A} \pi_i$$

- $\blacksquare \pi_i \text{ plan for agent } a_i$
- $\pi_i(t)$ location of agent at time t

valid solution of MAPF problem is a plan:

$$\pi = \bigcup_{a_i \in A} \pi_i$$

I. plan for each agent is a valid path

- $\blacksquare \pi_i \text{ plan for agent } a_i$
- **a** $\pi_i(t)$ location of agent at time t

valid solution of MAPF problem is a plan:

$$\pi = \bigcup_{a_i \in A} \pi_i$$

- I. plan for each agent is a valid path
- 2. only one agent can occupy one position at the time
 - plain existence

- \blacksquare π_i plan for agent a_i
- **a** $\pi_i(t)$ location of agent at time t

valid solution of MAPF problem is a plan:

$$\pi = \bigcup_{a_i \in A} \pi_i$$

- I. plan for each agent is a valid path
- 2. only one agent can occupy one position at the time
 - plain existence
- 3. only one agent can occupy one edge at the time



- \blacksquare π_i plan for agent a_i
- **a** $\pi_i(t)$ location of agent at time t

valid solution of MAPF problem is a plan:

$$\pi = \bigcup_{a_i \in A} \pi_i$$

- I. plan for each agent is a valid path
- 2. only one agent can occupy one position at the time
 - plain existence
- 3. only one agent can occupy one edge at the time
 - no swap

Note: train allowed

Optimal solution – feasible solution with minimal cost

Optimal solution – feasible solution with minimal cost

Cost functions:

Makespan $Mks(\pi) = \max_{i=1...n} |\pi_i|$

Sum of Costs
$$SoC(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\pi_i|$$

 Optimal solution – feasible solution with minimal cost

Cost functions:

Makespan

$$Mks(\pi) = \max_{i=1...n} |\pi_i|$$

Sum of Costs
$$SoC(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\pi_i|$$



Optimal solution – feasible solution with minimal cost

Cost functions:

N Makespan

$$Iks(\pi) = \max_{i=1\dots n} |\pi_i|$$

Sum of Costs
$$SoC(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\pi_i|$$

Feasible solution – polynomial Optimal solution – NP-Hard



- path length is unknown in advance
 - restricted plan length
 - iterative increasing

- path length is unknown in advance
 - restricted plan length
 - iterative increasing

MAPF to SAT $\forall x \in V, \forall a \in A, \forall t \in \{0, ..., T\}: At(x, a, t)$ $\forall (x, y) \in E, \forall a \in A, \forall t \in \{0, ..., T - 1\}:$ Pass(x, y, a, t)

- path length is unknown in advance
 - restricted plan length
 - iterative increasing

MAPF to SAT $\forall x \in V, \forall a \in A, \forall t \in \{0, ..., T\}: At(x, a, t)$ $\forall (x, y) \in E, \forall a \in A, \forall t \in \{0, ..., T - 1\}:$ Pass(x, y, a, t)Note: $\forall x \in V: (x, x) \in E$ – wait

- path length is unknown in advance
 - restricted plan length
 - iterative increasing

$$\forall a \in A : At(s_a, a, 0) = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$\forall a \in A : At(g_a, a, T) = 1 \tag{2}$$

$$\forall a \in A, \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T\} : \sum_{x \in V} At(x, a, t) \le 1$$
(3)

$$\forall x \in V, \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T\} : \sum_{a \in A} At(x, a, t) \le 1$$
 (4)

$$\forall x \in V, \forall a \in A, \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}:$$
$$At(x, a, t) \implies \sum_{(x, y) \in E} Pass(x, y, a, t) = 1 \quad (5)$$

$$\forall (x, y) \in E, \forall a \in A, \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\} : Pass(x, y, a, t) \implies At(y, a, t+1)$$
(6)

$$\forall (x, y) \in E : x \neq y, \forall t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\} :$$
$$\sum_{a \in A} (Pass(x, y, a, t) + Pass(y, x, a, t)) \leq 1 \quad (7)$$

MAPF to SAT $\forall x \in V, \forall a \in A, \forall t \in \{0, ..., T\}: At(x, a, t)$ $\forall (x, y) \in E, \forall a \in A, \forall t \in \{0, ..., T - 1\}:$ Pass(x, y, a, t)Note: $\forall x \in V: (x, x) \in E$ – wait

SAT representation

time-expanded graph



- SAT representation
 - time-expanded graph
- lower bound
 - $LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i$
 - longest shortest path



- SAT representation
 - time-expanded graph
- lower bound
 - $LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i$
 - longest shortest path
- preprocessing for variables
 - some vertices of time expanded graph are for agent unreachable



Makespan approach won't work







assuming we can encode upper bound to the model

 $SoC(\pi) \le UB(SoC)$ (8)



assuming we can encode upper bound to the model we should be able to use

 $SoC(\pi) \leq UB(SoC)$ (8) $Minimize_SoC(LB(SoC), UB(SoC))$ (9)



assuming we can encode upper bound to the model

we should be able to use

 $SoC(\pi) \leq UB(SoC)$ (8) $Minimize_SoC(LB(SoC), UB(SoC))$ (9)

to obtain plan with lowest SoC in specified interval

solve_MAPF(T, C) generates SAT model with:

- constraints I-7
- Makespan T
- C as UB(SoC)

```
Algorithm 1 Model 1function MODEL 1\forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest\_path(s_i, g_i)LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_iLB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i\delta \leftarrow 0while No Solution dosolve_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC) + \delta)\delta \leftarrow \delta + 1end whileend function
```

solve_MAPF(T, C) generates SAT model with:

- constraints I-7
- Makespan T
- C as UB(SoC)
- simultaneously adds
 - layers of time-expanded graph
- Algorithm 1 Model 1function MODEL 1 $\forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest_path(s_i, g_i)$ $LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i$ $LB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i$ $\delta \leftarrow 0$ while No Solution dosolve_MAPF($LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC) + \delta$) $\delta \leftarrow \delta + 1$ end whileend function

> available actions

Theorem 1. If there exists a solution with the Sum of Costs $LB(SoC) + \delta$ then this solution can be found in a timeexpanded graph with $LB(Mks) + \delta$ layers.

Theorem 1. If there exists a solution with the Sum of Costs $LB(SoC) + \delta$ then this solution can be found in a timeexpanded graph with $LB(Mks) + \delta$ layers.

> solution with Sum of Costs $LB(SoC), LB(SoC) = \sum SP_i$ exists

Theorem 1. If there exists a solution with the Sum of Costs $LB(SoC) + \delta$ then this solution can be found in a timeexpanded graph with $LB(Mks) + \delta$ layers.

- > solution with Sum of Costs $LB(SoC), LB(SoC) = \sum SP_i$ exists
- \succ this plan used δ extra actions in addition to LB

Theorem 1. If there exists a solution with the Sum of Costs $LB(SoC) + \delta$ then this solution can be found in a timeexpanded graph with $LB(Mks) + \delta$ layers.

- > solution with Sum of Costs $LB(SoC), LB(SoC) = \sum SP_i$ exists
- > this plan used δ extra actions in addition to LB
- these action can be used by any agent

Theorem 1. If there exists a solution with the Sum of Costs $LB(SoC) + \delta$ then this solution can be found in a timeexpanded graph with $LB(Mks) + \delta$ layers.

- > solution with Sum of Costs $LB(SoC), LB(SoC) = \sum SP_i$ exists
- > this plan used δ extra actions in addition to LB
- these action can be used by any agent

➢ if agent i uses k extra actions, then we need time-expanded graph with at least $SP_i + k$ layers to model its path

Theorem 1. If there exists a solution with the Sum of Costs $LB(SoC) + \delta$ then this solution can be found in a timeexpanded graph with $LB(Mks) + \delta$ layers.

- > solution with Sum of Costs $LB(SoC), LB(SoC) = \sum SP_i$ exists
- \succ this plan used δ extra actions in addition to LB
- these action can be used by any agent

- ➢ if agent i uses k extra actions, then we need time-expanded graph with at least $SP_i + k$ layers to model its path
- > worst case it is $LB(Mks) + \delta$

Theorem 1. If there exists a solution with the Sum of Costs $LB(SoC) + \delta$ then this solution can be found in a timeexpanded graph with $LB(Mks) + \delta$ layers.

- > solution with Sum of Costs $LB(SoC), LB(SoC) = \sum SP_i$ exists
- \succ this plan used δ extra actions in addition to LB
- these action can be used by any agent

- ➢ if agent i uses k extra actions, then we need time-expanded graph with at least $SP_i + k$ layers to model its path
- > worst case it is $LB(Mks) + \delta$

Algorithm 1 Model 1

problems with algorithm 1:

- iterates too many makespans
- at the end final makespan is lager than needed

```
function MODEL 1

\forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest\_path(s_i, g_i)

LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i

LB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i

\delta \leftarrow 0

while No Solution do

solve\_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC) + \delta)

\delta \leftarrow \delta + 1

end while

end function
```

Algorithm 1 Model 1

problems with algorithm 1:

- iterates too many makespans
- at the end final makespan is lager than needed
- find makespan that guarantees existence of optimal solution

```
function MODEL 1
    \forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest\_path(s_i, g_i)
    LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i
    LB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i
    \delta \leftarrow 0
    while No Solution do
         solve_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC) + \delta)
         \delta \leftarrow \delta + 1
    end while
end function
    -- (\sim \sim \sim ) \qquad \angle i \in A \sim \cdot i
    \gamma \leftarrow 0
    while No Solution do
         SoC \leftarrow opt\_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \gamma)
                  LB(SoC), |A| * LB(Mks) + \gamma)
        \gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1
    end while
    \delta \leftarrow SoC - LB(SoC)
    opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC), SoC)
end function
```

problems with algorithm 1:

- iterates too many makespans
- at the end final makespan is lager than needed
- find makespan that guarantees existence of optimal solution

```
Algorithm 1 Model 1
  function MODEL 1
       \forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest\_path(s_i, g_i)
       LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i
       LB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i
       \delta \leftarrow 0
       while No Solution do
Algorithm 2 Model 2
  function MODEL 2
      \forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest\_path(s_i, q_i)
       LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i
       LB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i
       \gamma \leftarrow 0
       while No Solution do
           SoC \leftarrow opt\_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \gamma)
                   LB(SoC), |A| * LB(Mks) + \gamma)
           \gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1
      end while
      \delta \leftarrow SoC - LB(SoC)
      opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC), SoC)
  end function
```

MODEL II SUM OF COSTS OPTIMAL MODELS

	Algorith	n 2 Model 2
Ι.	optimal Makespan is found function	on Model 2
	$\forall a$	$A : SP_i = shortest_path(s_i, g_i)$
	with no restriction on Sum of Costs LE	$\mathcal{C}(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i$
	LE	$P(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i$
	$\gamma \leftarrow$	- 0
	wh	ile No Solution do
		$SoC \leftarrow opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \gamma,$
		$LB(SoC), A * LB(Mks) + \gamma)$
		$\gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1$
	ene	l while
	δ \leftarrow	-SoC - LB(SoC)
	opt	$_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC), SoC)$
	end fu	nction

MODEL II SUM OF COSTS OPTIMAL MODELS

A 1

Algo	rithm 2 Model 2
optimal Makespan is found fu	nction MODEL 2
	$\forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest_path(s_i, g_i)$
with no restriction on Sum of Costs	$LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i$
- · - · ·	$LB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i$
computes δ by Theorem 1	$\gamma \leftarrow 0$
	while No Solution do
	$SoC \leftarrow opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \gamma)$
	$L\tilde{B}(SoC), A * LB(Mks)$
	$\gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1$
	end while
	$\delta \leftarrow SoC - LB(SoC)$

Ι.

2.

 $\mathsf{L}\mathsf{MAPF}(LB(Mks) + \gamma,$ $P(SoC), |A| * LB(Mks) + \gamma)$ B(SoC)opt_MAPF($LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC), SoC$)

```
end function
```

MODEL II SUM OF COSTS OPTIMAL MODELS

Ι.

2.

3.

Algo	rithm 2 Model 2
optimal Makespan is found fu	nction Model 2
with no restriction on Sum of Costs	$\forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest_path(s_i, g_i)$ $LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i$
computes δ by Theorem 1	$\begin{array}{l} LB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i \\ \gamma \leftarrow 0 \end{array}$
finds optimal solution	while No Solution do $SoC \leftarrow opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \gamma)$.
	$LB(SoC), A * LB(Mks) + \gamma$
	$\gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1$ end while
	$\delta \leftarrow SoC - LB(SoC)$
	opt_MAPF($LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC), SoC$)
en	d function

 $\gamma)$

		Algorithm 2 Model 2
Ι.	optimal Makespan is found	function MODEL 2
	with no restriction on Sum of Co	$\forall a_i \in A : SP_i = \\ LB(Mks) = \underline{\mathbf{m}}$
2.	computes δ by Theorem I	$\begin{array}{l} LB(SoC) = \sum \\ \gamma \leftarrow 0 \end{array}$
3.	finds optimal solution	while No Soluti $SoC \leftarrow opt.$
op	t_MAPF(T, L, U)	$LB(\gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1)$
ger	nerates SAT model with:	end while
-	constraints I-7	$\delta \leftarrow SoC - LE$ opt_MAPF(LB)
	Makespan T	end function

L as LB(SoC)

U as UB(SoC)

action MODEL 2 $\forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest_path(s_i, g_i)$ $LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i$ $LB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i$ $\gamma \leftarrow 0$ **while** No Solution **do** $SoC \leftarrow opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \gamma, LB(SoC), |A| * LB(Mks) + \gamma)$ $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1$ **end while** $\delta \leftarrow SoC - LB(SoC)$ $opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC), SoC)$ **d function**

		Alg
١.	optimal Makespan is found	f
	with no restriction on Sum of C	osts
2.	computes δ by Theorem 1	
3.	finds optimal solution	
op1 ger	t_MAPF(T, L, U) nerates SAT model with:	

- constraints I-7
- Makespan T
- L as LB(SoC)
- U as UB(SoC)

gorithm 2 Model 2 function MODEL 2 $\forall a_i \in A : SP_i = shortest_path(s_i, g_i)$ is $LB(Mks) = \max_{i \in A} SP_i$ $LB(SoC) = \sum_{i \in A} SP_i$ $\gamma \leftarrow 0$ while No Solution do $SoC \leftarrow opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \gamma, LB(SoC), |A| * LB(Mks) + \gamma)$ $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1$ end while $\delta \leftarrow SoC - LB(SoC)$ $opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \delta, LB(SoC), SoC)$ end function

optimal solution found using (9) in interval: $\langle LB(SoC), |A| * LB(Mks) + \gamma \rangle$ $Minimize_SoC(LB(SoC), UB(SoC))$ (9)

MODEL II SUM OF COSTS OPTI

- I. <u>optimal</u> Makespan is found
 - with no restriction on Sum of Costs

Algo

- 2. computes δ by Theorem I
- 3. finds optimal solution

opt_MAPF(T, L, U) generates SAT model with:

- constraints I-7
- Makespan T
- L as LB(SoC)
- U as UB(SoC)

with best Sum of Cost from all optimal Makespans

any feasible Makespan is sufficient at this stage, but nonoptimal is costly

 $SoC \leftarrow \text{opt}_MAPF(LB(Mks) + \gamma, \\ LB(SoC), |A| * LB(Mks) + \gamma)$ $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1$ end while $\delta \leftarrow SoC - LB(SoC)$ opt_MAPF(LB(Mks) + $\delta, LB(SoC), SoC$) end function

optimal solution found using (9) in interval: $\langle LB(SoC), |A| * LB(Mks) + \gamma \rangle$ $Minimize_SoC(LB(SoC), UB(SoC))$ (9)

time-expanded graph represented by SAT model can be seen as if each agent had his own version of this graph and those were connected by constraints

 for Makespan all agents needs same numbers of layers

- for Makespan all agents needs same numbers of layers
- but for Sum of Costs agents "share available moves"

- for Makespan all agents needs same numbers of layers
- but for Sum of Costs agents "share available moves"
- agents with short shortest path have no chance of reaching all levels

- for Makespan all agents needs same numbers of layers
- > only when solving Sum of Costs!

- but for Sum of Costs agents "share available moves"
- agents with short shortest path have no chance of reaching all levels

- for Makespan all agents needs same numbers of layers
- but for Sum of Costs agents "share available moves"
- agents with short shortest path have no chance of reaching all levels

- only when solving Sum of Costs!
- > for each agent is created separate time-expanded graph with $SP_i + \delta$ layers

- for Makespan all agents needs same numbers of layers
- but for Sum of Costs agents "share available moves"
- agents with short shortest path have no chance of reaching all levels

- only when solving Sum of Costs!
- > for each agent is created separate time-expanded graph with $SP_i + \delta$ layers
- such graphs are interconnected by constraints 1-7

- for Makespan all agents needs same numbers of layers
- but for Sum of Costs agents "share available moves"
- agents with short shortest path have no chance of reaching all levels

- only when solving Sum of Costs!
- > for each agent is created separate time-expanded graph with $SP_i + \delta$ layers
- such graphs are interconnected by constraints 1-7
- in this way, agents with short paths would disappear from abstract graphs of other players once they reach goal
 - > forbid their goal after timestep $SP_i + \delta$

EXPERIMENTS

- 2d grids 8x8 up to 16x16
- 20% of the cells are impassable
- I-2x grid width agents
- randomly generated unique start and unique end positions
- each setting 5x
- altogether 175 unique instances



EXPERIMENTS



EXPERIMENTS

	M . 1	M. 2	M. 1+	M. 2+	CBS
# of solved	97	137	95	139	97
# of fastest	0	4	3	46	88
# of fastest (without CBS)	9	8	6	118	_
IPC score	16.11	44.56	16.76	57.07	92.50
IPC score (without CBS)	57.19	110.54	53.93	134.29	_

KEYWORDS

