#### Hypercube problems

Lecture 18 December 14, 2017

Lecturer: Petr Gregor

Scribe by: Martin Dvorak

Updated: August 16, 2018

# **1** Definition of binary counters

**Motivation** How to efficiently represent integers in memory if we need only increment and decrement?

**Definition 1** By a space-optimal (non-redundant) representation of integers  $\{0, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}$ we mean any bijection  $r : \{0, \ldots, 2^n - 1\} \to \{0, 1\}^n$ .

**Example** SBC(a) =  $w_n \dots w_1$  such that  $a = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i 2^{i-1}$  (a standard binary code) **Example** SRGC(a) = a-th vertex in the standard reflected Gray code  $\Gamma_n$ 

$$\Gamma_1 = (0, 1)$$
$$\Gamma_{n+1} = (0\Gamma_n, 1\Gamma_n^R)$$

**Definition 2** Bitprobe model - how many bit reads/writes in the data structure are needed in the worst/average case for each operation? The overhead of operations to determine which bits to read/write is omitted.

		space	read	write	average read	average write
Example	$\operatorname{SBC}$	n	n	n	$2 - 2^{1-n}$	$2 - 2^{1-n}$
	SRGC	n	n	1	n	1

**Definition 3** (non-redundant counters): An (n, r, w)-counter is a data structure that uses n bits to represent integers  $\{0, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}$  with increment and decrement operations (modulo  $2^n$ ) with r bits read and w bits written for each operation in the worst case (in the bitprobe model).

**Definition 4** A decision assignment tree (DAT) is a binary tree to represent increment/decrement operations. Inner vertices are labeled by bits that are read, left/right subtrees represent the cases when the read bit is 0/1, leaves l are labeled by sets  $W_l$  of assignments of type  $x_i := b, b \in \{0, 1\}.$ 



Figure 1: DAT for SBC.

**Example** DAT for increment in SBC is on Figure 1. DAT determines read/write complexity as follows:

(worst case) write =  $max_{l:leaf}|W_l|$ 

(worst case) read = depth of DAT (

average read = weighted average depth (expected depth)

**Example** Increment in SRGC is easily transformed to DAT.

 $inc(x_n, \dots, x_1) = \begin{cases} x \oplus e_1 & \text{if } x_n \oplus \dots \oplus x_1 = 0\\ x \oplus e_{\min\{i+1,n\}} & \text{else where } i = \text{the smallest such that } x_i = 1 \end{cases}$ 

**Definition 5** (redundant counters): An (n, e, r, w)-counter with efficiency  $e = \frac{L}{2^n}$  is a data structure to represent integer  $\{0, \ldots, L-1\}$  for increment/decrement (modulo L) with worst case read/write complexity r/w (in the bitprobe model).

# 2 Basic properties of binary counters

**Question** How many bit reads are needed?

**Observation 6** In any space optimal counter, all written bits (in leaves) have been read.

**Proposition 7 ([6])** Any (n, r, w)-counter requires at least  $r \ge \log_2 n + 1$  reads for increment (or decrement).

**Proof** Suppose there is an (n, r, w)-counter with  $r \leq \log_2 n$ . Consider corresponding DAT T for increment (or decrement). T has at most  $2^r - 1 \leq n - 1$  internal vertices. Thus some bit is never read  $\implies$  never written (by Observation)  $\implies$  contradiction with space equality.



Figure 2: A quasi-Gray code for  $Q_4$ : bold red lines are increment/decrement edges, pale yellow lines denote same direction of increment, pale blue lines denote the same direction of decrement and dashed gray lines are ordinary edges of the hypercube.

**Proposition 8 ([3])** Any  $(n, \frac{L}{2^n}, r, 1)$ -counter requires at least  $r \ge \log_2 \log_2 L + 1$  reads for increment (or decrement).

**Proof** To represent L integers, at least  $\log_2 L$  bits need to be modified, at least once set to 0, once to 1. The corresponding DAT has at least  $2 \log_2 L$  leaves. Since its depth is r, it has at most  $2^r$  leaves, so  $2^r \ge 2 \log_2 L$ .

### **3** Binary counters with small worst-case reads

**Question** Does every (n, r, 1)-counter require r = n reads? No!

**Proposition 9** ([2]) There is a (4,3,2)-counter for both increment/decrement.

**Proof** Consider the following quasi-Gray code (with distance  $\leq 2$  of consecutive strings) on Figure 2. Vertices can be recursively cut into  $Q_2$ 's (pairs of adjacent vertices) so that they have the same incoming and outgoing directions. This gives DATs for increment and decrement, see Figures 3 and 4.

**Question** Can we improve it from w = 2 to w = 1?



**Figure 3**: DAT for increment in the (4, 3, 2)-counter.



**Figure 4**: DAT for decrement in the (4, 3, 2)-counter.



**Figure 5**: Induction step in  $Q_n$  for (n, n - 1, 1)-counter.

**Theorem 10** ([4]) There is a (5, 4, 1)-counter for both increment and decrement.

**Proof** Modify the previous quasi-Gray code in  $Q_4$  onto Gray code in  $Q_5$  by replacing the distance 2 steps with path-partition through the other copy of  $Q_4$ . The recursive cutting into adjacent with the same outgoing / incoming edges can be still found.

**Corollary 11 ([4])** There is an (n, n-1, 1)-counter for both increment and decrement for every  $n \ge 5$ .

**Proof** By induction on n, see Figure 5. In the counter  $C_{n-1}$  for  $Q_{n-1}$ , delete one pair of parallel edges and interleave the circle by two parallel paths in two  $Q_{n-2}$  on the other  $Q_{n-1}$ . Note that the resulting Gray code supports both increment and decrement in n-1 reads.

**Problem** ([4]) Does an (n, n - 2, c)-counter exist (for some constant c and sufficiently large n)? For example (6, 4, c) is the first open case.

**Note 12** There exists an  $(n, \frac{1}{2}, \log_2 n + 2, 3)$ -counter for increment (no decrement) [2].

**Note 13** There is a ternary  $(n, O(\log n), 2)$ -counter (in general for every odd-sized alphabet) [5].

## 4 Binary counters with small average-case reads

**Proposition 14 ([4])** Any (n,r,w)-counter needs at least  $2 - 2^{1-n}$  bit reads on average (thus SBC is optimal in this respect).



Figure 6: Lower bound for average bit reads.



Figure 7: Halves of Recursive partition Gray code.

**Proof** DAT needs to have the structure of DAT of SBC (up to isomorphism), where every inner vertex has a leaf as one of its children. Otherwise let l denote the smallest level where a vertex does not have a leaf as one of its children. Average read count is then at least:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (i \cdot 2^{-i}) + l \cdot 2^{1-l} = 2 - 2^{1-l} > 2 - 2^{1-n}$$

See Figure 6 for an illustration.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Theorem 15 ([1])** There is a an (n, n, 1)-counter with at most  $4 \log n$  reads on average if  $n = 2^k$  for some positive integer k.

**Proof** Consider the following recursive partition Gray code:

$$RPGC_1 = (0, 1)$$

For the step  $RPGC_{2^{k-1}} \to RPGC_{2^k}$  see Figure 7. Let A, B be the first and second  $2^{k-1}$  bits of the code word (A, B) in  $RPGC_{2^k}$ . Then increment and decrement is as follows.

$$inc(A,B) = \begin{cases} (A,dec(B)) & \text{if } A = B\\ (inc(A),B) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$



Figure 8: A construction of Recursive partition Gray code.

The increment operation is explained in Figure 8.

$$dec(A, B) = \begin{cases} (A, inc(B)) & \text{if } A = succ(B) \\ (dec(A), B) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

What is the average read count? Take (A, B) at random. Let  $r_{inc}(A, B)$  resp.  $r_{dec}(A, B)$  denote the count of reads for increment resp. decrement operation on (A, B). Let c(A, B) denote the average count of reads to compare whether A = B. Now |A| = |B| = n.

We need to prove that  $r_{inc}(A, B) \leq 4 \log n$  and also  $r_{dec}(A, B) \leq 4 \log n$ . We will prove both inequalities at the same time using mathematical induction.

If n = 2, then we perform r = 2 reads, which is less than  $4 \log 2 = 4$ .

Let's do the induction step from n to 2n now. If we calculate the probabilities of reading every bit during the compare operation, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}[c(A,B)] = 2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^{-i}) = 2 \cdot (2 - 2 \cdot 2^{-n}) = 4 - 2^{2-n}$$

The average read count for increment is as follows. Decrement is analogous.

$$\mathbb{E}[r_{inc}(A, B)] =$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[c(A, B)] + \mathbb{E}[r_{dec}(B)|A = B] + \mathbb{E}[r_{inc}(A)|A \neq B] =$$

$$= 4 - 2^{2-n} + \mathbb{E}[r_{dec}(B)] \cdot 2^{-n} + \mathbb{E}[r_{inc}(A)] \cdot (1 - 2^{-n}) =$$
  
= 4 - 2^{2-n} + 4 log n \cdot 2^{-n} + 4 log n \cdot (1 - 2^{-n}) =  
= 4 - 2^{2-n} + 4 log n \le   
\le 4 log n + 4 = 4 log(2n)

**Note 16** For general n, there is an (n, n, 1)-counter with at most  $6 \log n$  reads on average [1].

**Problem** ([4]) For general n, is there any (n, n-1, 1)-counter with  $O(\log n)$  average reads?

#### Notes

A short survey of binary counters with additional references can be found in [4]. This lecture does not cover most recent results in [5, 7].

## References

- Prosenjit Bose, Paz Carmi, Dana Jansens, Anil Maheshwari, Pat Morin, and Michiel Smid, *Improved methods for generating quasi-gray codes*, In Proceedings of the 12th Scandinavian Conference on Algorithm Theory, SWAT '10, pages 224–235, Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [2] Gerth Stølting Brodal, Mark Greve, Vineet Pandey, and Srinivasa Rao Satti, Integer representations towards effcient counting in the bit probe model, Journal of Discrete Algorithms, 26:34–44, 2014.
- [3] Michael L. Fredman, Observations on the complexity of generating quasi-gray codes, SIAM Journal on Computing, 7(2):134–146, 1978.
- [4] Zachary Frenette, Towards the efficient generation of Gray codes in the bitprobe model, Master's thesis, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 2016.
- [5] Diptarka Chakraborty, Debarati Das, Michal Koucký, and Nitin Saurabh, Optimal Quasi-Gray Codes: Does the Alphabet Matter?, In Proceedings of 26th Annual European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA 2018), Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), vol. 112, pages 12:1–12:15, 2018.
- [6] Patrick K. Nicholson, Venkatesh Raman, and S. Srinivasa Rao, A survey of data structures in the bitprobe model, In Space-Efficient Data Structures, Streams, and Algorithms, volume 8066, pages 303–318, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.

[7] Mikhail Raskin, A linear Lower Bound for Incrementing a Space-Optimal Integer Representation in the Bit-Probe Model, In Proceedings of 44th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP 2017), Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), vol. 80, pages 88:1–88:12, 2017.