

Predicate and Propositional Logic - Seminar 8

Nov 21, 2024

1. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\{a, b, c, d\}, \triangleright^{\mathcal{A}})$ be a structure for the language with a single binary relation symbol \triangleright , where $\triangleright^{\mathcal{A}} = \{(a, c), (b, c), (c, c), (c, d)\}$. Which of the following formulas are valid in \mathcal{A} ?

- (a) $x \triangleright y$
- (b) $(\exists x)(\forall y)(y \triangleright x)$
- (c) $(\exists x)(\forall y)((y \triangleright x) \rightarrow (x \triangleright x))$
- (d) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists z)((x \triangleright z) \wedge (z \triangleright y))$
- (e) $(\forall x)(\exists y)((x \triangleright z) \vee (z \triangleright y))$

2. For every formula φ from the previous exercise find a structure \mathcal{B} (if it exists) such that $\mathcal{B} \models \varphi$ if and only if $\mathcal{A} \not\models \varphi$.

3. Are the following sentences valid / contradictory / independent (in logic)?

- (a) $(\exists x)(\forall y)(P(x) \vee \neg P(y))$
- (b) $(\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(f(x))) \wedge (\forall x)P(x) \wedge (\exists x)\neg Q(x)$
- (c) $(\forall x)(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \rightarrow ((\forall x)(P(x) \vee (\forall x)Q(x)))$
- (d) $(\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \rightarrow ((\exists x)P(x) \rightarrow (\exists x)Q(x))$
- (e) $(\exists x)(\forall y)P(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall y)(\exists x)P(x, y)$

4. Prove (semantically) the following claims. For every structure \mathcal{A} , formula φ , and sentence ψ ,

- (a) $\mathcal{A} \models (\psi \rightarrow (\exists x)\varphi) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models (\exists x)(\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- (b) $\mathcal{A} \models (\psi \rightarrow (\forall x)\varphi) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models (\forall x)(\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- (c) $\mathcal{A} \models ((\exists x)\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models (\forall x)(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$
- (d) $\mathcal{A} \models ((\forall x)\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models (\exists x)(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$

Does this hold also for every formula ψ with a free variable x ? And for every formula ψ in which x is not free?

5. Determine whether the following holds for every formula φ .

- (a) $\varphi \models (\forall x)\varphi$
- (b) $\models \varphi \rightarrow (\forall x)\varphi$
- (c) $\varphi \models (\exists x)\varphi$
- (d) $\models \varphi \rightarrow (\exists x)\varphi$

6. The theory of groups T is of language $L = \langle +, -, 0 \rangle$ with equality where $+$ is a binary function symbol, $-$ is a unary function symbol, 0 is a constant symbol, and has it axioms

$$\begin{aligned} x + (y + z) &= (x + y) + z \\ 0 + x &= x = x + 0 \\ x + (-x) &= 0 = (-x) + x \end{aligned}$$

Are the following formulas valid / contradictory / independent in T ?

- (a) $x + y = y + x$
- (b) $x + y = x \rightarrow y = 0$
- (c) $x + y = 0 \rightarrow y = -x$

- (d) $-(x + y) = (-y) + (-x)$
7. Consider a structure $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4 = \langle \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, +, -, 0 \rangle$ where $+$ is the binary addition modulo 4 and $-$ is the unary function for the *inverse* element of $+$ with respect to the *neutral* element 0.
- Is $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4$ a model of the theory T from the previous example (i.e. is it a *group*)?
 - Determine all substructures $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4 \langle a \rangle$ generated by some $a \in \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4$.
 - Does $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4$ contain also other substructures?
 - Is every substructure of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4$ a model of T ?
 - Is every substructure of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4$ elementarily equivalent to $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4$?
 - Is every substructure of a *commutative* group (i.e. a group that satisfies also 2(a)) again a commutative group?
8. Let $\underline{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle \mathbb{Q}, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ be the structure of rational numbers with standard operations (thus forming a *field*).
- Is there a reduct of $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}$ that is a model of T from the previous exercises?
 - Can we expand the reduct $\langle \mathbb{Q}, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ to a model of T ?
 - Does $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}$ contain a substructure that is not elementary equivalent to $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}$?
 - Let $Th(\underline{\mathbb{Q}})$ denote the set of all sentences that are valid in $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Is $Th(\underline{\mathbb{Q}})$ a complete theory?
9. Let $T = \{x = c_1 \vee x = c_2 \vee x = c_3\}$ be a theory of $L = \langle c_1, c_2, c_3 \rangle$ with equality.
- Is T (semantically) consistent?
 - Are all models of T elementarily equivalent? That is, is T (semantically) complete?
 - Find all simple complete extensions of T .
 - Is a theory $T' = T \cup \{x = c_1 \vee x = c_4\}$ of the language $L = \langle c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \rangle$ an extension of T ? Is T' a simple extension of T ? Is T' a conservative extension of T ?