## Propositional and Predicate Logic - VII

Petr Gregor

KTIML MFF UK

WS 2014/2015

Petr Gregor (KTIML MFF UK)

Propositional and Predicate Logic - VII

WS 2014/2015 1 / 14

イロト イヨト イヨト

### Structures

- <u>S</u> = ⟨S, ≤⟩ is an ordered set where ≤ is reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive binary relation on S,
- G = ⟨V, E⟩ is an undirected graph without loops where V is the set of vertices and E is irreflexive, symmetric binary relation on V (adjacency),
- $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_p = \langle \mathbb{Z}_p, +, -, 0 \rangle$  is the additive group of integers modulo p,
- $\mathbb{Q} = \langle \mathbb{Q}, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$  is the field of rational numbers,
- $\mathcal{P}(X) = \langle \mathcal{P}(X), -, \cap, \cup, \emptyset, X \rangle$  is the set algebra over X,
- $\underline{\mathbb{N}} = \langle \mathbb{N}, S, +, \cdot, 0, \leq \rangle$  is the standard model of arithmetic,
- finite automata and other models of computation,
- relational databases, . . .

# A structure for a language

Let  $L = \langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  be a signature of a language and A be a nonempty set.

- A realization (interpretation) of a relation symbol  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  on A is any relation  $R^A \subseteq A^{\operatorname{ar}(R)}$ . A realization of = on A is the relation  $Id_A$  (identity).
- A realization (interpretation) of a function symbol  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  on A is any function  $f^A: A^{\operatorname{ar}(f)} \to A$ . Thus a realization of a constant symbol is some element of A.

A structure for the language L (*L*-structure) is a triple  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{R}^A, \mathcal{F}^A \rangle$ , where

- A is a nonempty set, called the *domain* of the structure  $\mathcal{A}$ ,
- $\mathcal{R}^A = \langle R^A | R \in \mathcal{R} \rangle$  is a collection of realizations of relation symbols,
- $\mathcal{F}^A = \langle f^A | f \in \mathcal{F} \rangle$  is a collection of realizations of function symbols.

A structure for the language L is also called a *model of the language L*. The class of all models of L is denoted by M(L). Examples for  $L = \langle \langle \rangle$  are

 $\langle \mathbb{N}, < \rangle, \langle \mathbb{O}, > \rangle, \langle V, E \rangle, \langle \mathcal{P}(X), \subset \rangle.$ 

・ロト ・回 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

### Values of terms

Let *t* be a term of  $L = \langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{R}^A, \mathcal{F}^A \rangle$  be an *L*-structure.

- A *variable assignment* over the domain A is a function  $e: Var \rightarrow A$ .
- The *value*  $t^{A}[e]$  of the term *t* in the structure A with respect to the assignment *e* is defined by

 $x^{A}[e] = e(x)$  for every  $x \in Var$ ,

 $(f(t_0,\ldots,t_{n-1}))^A[e]=f^A(t_0^A[e],\ldots,t_{n-1}^A[e]) \quad \text{for every } f\in\mathcal{F}.$ 

- In particular, for a constant symbol c we have  $c^{A}[e] = c^{A}$ .
- If *t* is a ground term, its value in *A* is independent on the assignment *e*.
- The value of t in A depends only on the assignment of variables in t.

For example, the value of the term x + 1 in the structure  $\mathcal{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}, +, 1 \rangle$  with respect to the assignment *e* with e(x) = 2 is  $(x + 1)^N[e] = 3$ .

#### Truth values

# Values of atomic formulas

Let  $\varphi$  be an atomic formula of  $L = \langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  in the form  $R(t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1})$ ,

 $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{R}^A, \mathcal{F}^A \rangle$  be an *L*-structure, and *e* be a variable assignment over *A*.

• The value  $H^A_{at}(\varphi)[e]$  of the formula  $\varphi$  in the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to e is

 $H_{at}^{A}(R(t_{0},...,t_{n-1}))[e] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (t_{0}^{A}[e],...,t_{n-1}^{A}[e]) \in R^{A}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ where  $=^{A}$  is Id<sub>A</sub>; that is,  $H_{at}^{A}(t_{0} = t_{1})[e] = 1$  if  $t_{0}^{A}[e] = t_{1}^{A}[e]$ , and  $H_{at}^A(t_0 = t_1)[e] = 0$  otherwise.

- If  $\varphi$  is a sentence; that is, all its terms are ground, then its value in  $\mathcal{A}$ is independent on the assignment e.
- The value of  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  depends only on the assignment of variables in  $\varphi$ .

For example, the value of  $\varphi$ :  $x + 1 \le 1$  in  $\mathcal{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}, +, 1, \le \rangle$  with respect to the assignment *e* is  $H_{at}^{N}(\varphi)[e] = 1$  if and only if e(x) = 0.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

### Values of formulas

The value  $H^{A}(\varphi)[e]$  of the formula  $\varphi$  in the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to e is

$$\begin{split} H^{A}(\varphi)[e] &= H^{A}_{at}(\varphi)[e] \quad \text{if } \varphi \text{ is atomic,} \\ H^{A}(\neg \varphi)[e] &= -_{1}(H^{A}(\varphi)[e]) \\ H^{A}(\varphi \land \psi)[e] &= \land_{1}(H^{A}(\varphi)[e], H^{A}(\psi)[e]) \\ H^{A}(\varphi \lor \psi)[e] &= \lor_{1}(H^{A}(\varphi)[e], H^{A}(\psi)[e]) \\ H^{A}(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)[e] &= \rightarrow_{1}(H^{A}(\varphi)[e], H^{A}(\psi)[e]) \\ H^{A}(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)[e] &= \leftrightarrow_{1}(H^{A}(\varphi)[e], H^{A}(\psi)[e]) \\ H^{A}((\forall x)\varphi)[e] &= \min_{a \in A}(H^{A}(\varphi)[e(x/a)]) \\ H^{A}((\exists x)\varphi)[e] &= \max_{a \in A}(H^{A}(\varphi)[e(x/a)]) \end{split}$$

where  $-_1$ ,  $\wedge_1$ ,  $\vee_1$ ,  $\rightarrow_1$ ,  $\leftrightarrow_1$  are the Boolean functions given by the tables and e(x/a) for  $a \in A$  denotes the assignment obtained from e by setting e(x) = a. *Observation*  $H^A(\varphi)[e]$  depends only on the assignment of free variables in  $\varphi$ .

### Satisfiability with respect to assignments

The structure  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the formula  $\varphi$  with assignment e if  $H^A(\varphi)[e] = 1$ . Then we write  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi[e]$ , and  $\mathcal{A} \not\models \varphi[e]$  otherwise. It holds that

Observation Let t be a term substitutable for x in  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be a variant of  $\varphi$ . Then for every structure A and assignment e

1) 
$$\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(x/t)[e]$$
 if and only if  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi[e(x/a)]$  where  $a = t^{A}[e]$ ,

2) 
$$\mathcal{A} \models \varphi[e]$$
 if and only if  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi[e]$ .

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

### Validity in a structure

Let  $\varphi$  be a formula of a language L and A be an L-structure.

- φ is *valid* (*true*) *in the structure* A, denoted by A ⊨ φ, if A ⊨ φ[e] for every e: Var → A. We say that A satisfies φ. Otherwise, we write A ⊭ φ.
- $\varphi$  is *contradictory in*  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\mathcal{A} \models \neg \varphi$ ; that is,  $\mathcal{A} \not\models \varphi[e]$  for every  $e \colon \text{Var} \to A$ .
- For every formulas  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$ , variable x, and structure  $\mathcal{A}$

(1)	$\mathcal{A}\models\varphi$	$\Rightarrow$	$\mathcal{A} \not\models \neg \varphi$
(2)	$\mathcal{A}\models\varphi\wedge\psi$	$\Leftrightarrow$	$\mathcal{A}\models \varphi$ and $\mathcal{A}\models \psi$
(3)	$\mathcal{A}\models\varphi\lor\psi$	$\Leftarrow$	$\mathcal{A}\models arphi$ or $\mathcal{A}\models \psi$
(4)	$\mathcal{A}\models\varphi$	$\Leftrightarrow$	$\mathcal{A} \models (\forall x) \varphi$

- If φ is a sentence, it is valid or contradictory in A, and thus (1) holds also in ⇐. If moreover ψ is a sentence, also (3) holds in ⇒.
- By (4), A ⊨ φ if and only if A ⊨ ψ where ψ is the *universal closure* of φ,
  i.e. a formula (∀x<sub>1</sub>) · · · (∀x<sub>n</sub>)φ where x<sub>1</sub>, . . . , x<sub>n</sub> are all free variables in φ.

#### Theory

# Validity in a theory

- A theory of a language L is any set T of formulas of L (so called axioms).
- A model of a theory T is an L-structure A such that  $A \models \varphi$  for every  $\varphi \in T$ . Then we write  $\mathcal{A} \models T$  and we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies T.
- The *class of models* of a theory *T* is  $M(T) = \{A \in M(L) \mid A \models T\}$ .
- A formula  $\varphi$  is valid in T (true in T), denoted by  $T \models \varphi$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi$ for every model  $\mathcal{A}$  of T. Otherwise, we write  $T \not\models \varphi$ .
- $\varphi$  is contradictory in T if  $T \models \neg \varphi$ , i.e.  $\varphi$  is contradictory in all models of T.
- $\varphi$  is *independent in T* if it is neither valid nor contradictory in T.
- If  $T = \emptyset$ , we have M(T) = M(L) and we omit T, eventually we say *"in logic"*. Then  $\models \varphi$  means that  $\varphi$  is (*universally*) *valid* (a *tautology*).
- A consequence of T is the set  $\theta^L(T)$  of all sentences of L valid in T, i.e.  $\theta^{L}(T) = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Fm}_{L} \mid T \models \varphi \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is a sentence} \}.$

#### Theory

### Example of a theory

The *theory of orderings* T of the language  $L = \langle \leq \rangle$  with equality has axioms

$x \leq x$	(reflexivity)
$x \leq y \land y \leq x \rightarrow x = y$	(antisymmetry)
$x \leq y \land y \leq z \rightarrow x \leq z$	(transitivity)

Models of T are L-structures  $(S, \leq_s)$ , so called ordered sets, that satisfy the axioms of T, for example  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathbb{N}, \leq \rangle$  or  $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{P}(X), \subseteq \rangle$  for  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

- The formula  $\varphi: x \leq y \lor y \leq x$  is valid in  $\mathcal{A}$  but not in  $\mathcal{B}$  since  $\mathcal{B} \not\models \varphi[e]$ for the assignment  $e(x) = \{0\}, e(y) = \{1\}$ , thus  $\varphi$  is independent in T.
- The sentence  $\psi : (\exists x)(\forall y)(y \leq x)$  is valid in  $\mathcal{B}$  and contradictory in  $\mathcal{A}$ , hence it is independent in *T* as well. We write  $\mathcal{B} \models \psi$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \models \neg \psi$ .
- The formula  $\chi: (x \leq y \land y \leq z \land z \leq x) \rightarrow (x = y \land y = z)$  is valid in *T*, denoted by  $T \models \chi$ , the same holds for its universal closure.

#### Theory

# Properties of theories

A theory T of a language L is (semantically)

- *inconsistent* if  $T \models \bot$ , otherwise T is *consistent* (*satisfiable*),
- complete if it is consistent and every sentence of L is valid in T or contradictory in T,
- an *extension* of a theory T' of language L' if  $L' \subset L$  and  $\theta^{L'}(T') \subset \theta^{L}(T)$ . we say that an extension T of a theory T' is simple if L = L'; and *conservative* if  $\theta^{L'}(T') = \theta^{L}(T) \cap \operatorname{Fm}_{L'}$ ,
- equivalent with a theory T' if T is an extension of T' and vice-versa,

Structures  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  for a language L are *elementarily equivalent*, denoted by  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{B}$ , if they satisfy the same sentences of L.

**Observation** Let T and T' be theories of a language L. T is (semantically)

- (1) consistent if and only if it has a model,
- (2) complete iff it has a single model, up to elementarily equivalence,
- (3) an extension of T' if and only if  $M(T) \subseteq M(T')$ ,
- (4) equivalent with T' if and only if M(T) = M(T').

# Unsatisfiability and validity

The problem of validity in a theory can be transformed to the problem of satisfiability of (another) theory.

**Proposition** For every theory T and sentence  $\varphi$  (of the same language)

 $T, \neg \varphi$  is unsatisfiable  $\Leftrightarrow$   $T \models \varphi$ .

**Proof** By definitions, it is equivalent that

- (1)  $T, \neg \varphi$  is unsatisfiable (i.e. it has no model),
- (2)  $\neg \varphi$  is not valid in any model of *T*,
- (3)  $\varphi$  is valid in every model of T,

(4)  $T \models \varphi$ .  $\Box$ 

*Remark* The assumption that  $\varphi$  is a sentence is necessary for  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . For example, the theory  $\{P(c), \neg P(x)\}$  is unsatisfiable, but  $P(c) \not\models P(x)$ , where *P* is a unary relation symbol and *c* is a constant symbol.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

### Substructures

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{R}^A, \mathcal{F}^A \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, \mathcal{R}^B, \mathcal{F}^B \rangle$  be structures for  $L = \langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{B}$  is an (induced) *substructure* of  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , if

$$\begin{array}{ll} (i) & B \subseteq A, \\ (ii) & R^B = R^A \cap B^{\operatorname{ar}(R)} \text{ for every } R \in \mathcal{R}, \\ (iii) & f^B = f^A \cap (B^{\operatorname{ar}(f)} \times B); \text{ that is, } f^B = f^A \upharpoonright B^{\operatorname{ar}(f)}, \text{ for every } f \in \mathcal{F}. \end{array}$$

A set  $C \subseteq A$  is a domain of some substructure of A if and only if C is closed under all functions of A. Then the respective substructure, denoted by  $A \upharpoonright C$ , is said to be the *restriction* of the structure A to C.

• A set  $C \subseteq A$  is *closed* under a function  $f : A^n \to A$  if  $f(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in C$  for every  $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in C$ .

*Example:*  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} = \langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$  *is a substructure of*  $\underline{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle \mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$  *and*  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} = \underline{\mathbb{Q}} \upharpoonright \mathbb{Z}$ . *Furthermore,*  $\underline{\mathbb{N}} = \langle \mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$  *is their substructure and*  $\underline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{Q} \upharpoonright \mathbb{N} = \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \upharpoonright \mathbb{N}$ .

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

### Generated substructure, expansion, reduct

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{R}^A, \mathcal{F}^A \rangle$  be a structure and  $X \subseteq A$ . Let *B* be the smallest subset of *A* containing *X* that is closed under all functions of the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  (including constants). Then the structure  $\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright B$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}\langle X \rangle$  and is called the substructure of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by the set *X*.

*Example:* for  $\underline{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle \mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$ ,  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} = \langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$ ,  $\underline{\mathbb{N}} = \langle \mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$  it is  $\underline{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \{1\} \rangle = \underline{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\underline{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \{-1\} \rangle = \underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and  $\underline{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \{2\} \rangle$  is the substructure on all even natural numbers.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a structure for a language L and  $L' \subseteq L$ . By omitting realizations of symbols that are not in L' we obtain from  $\mathcal{A}$  a structure  $\mathcal{A}'$  called the *reduct* of  $\mathcal{A}$  to the language L'. Conversely,  $\mathcal{A}$  is an *expansion* of  $\mathcal{A}'$  into L.

For example,  $\langle \mathbb{N}, + \rangle$  is a reduct of  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$ . On the other hand, the structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, c_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $c_i = i$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  is the expansion of  $\langle \mathbb{N}, + \rangle$  by names of elements from  $\mathbb{N}$ .