

Predicate and Propositional Logic - Seminar 11

Jan 5, 2015

- Convert the following formulas into the prenex normal form.

- $(\forall y)((\exists x)P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(y, z)) \wedge (\exists y)((\forall x)R(x, y) \vee Q(x, y))$
- $(\exists x)R(x, y) \leftrightarrow (\forall y)P(x, y)$
- $\neg((\forall x)(\exists y)P(x, y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\exists y)R(x, y)) \wedge (\forall x)\neg(\exists y)Q(x, y)$

- Find Skolem variants of the formulas in PNF from the previous problem.

- Verify that (thus, a Skolem variant does not have to be equivalent to the original formula)

- $\models (\forall x)P(x, f(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\exists y)P(x, y)$
- $\not\models (\forall x)(\exists y)P(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall x)P(x, f(x))$

- Let T' be the extension of $T = \{(\exists y)(x + y = 0), (x + y = 0) \wedge (x + z = 0) \rightarrow y = z\}$ in $L = \langle +, 0, \leq \rangle$ with equality by definitions of $<$ and unary $-$ with axioms

$$\begin{aligned} -x = y &\leftrightarrow x + y = 0 \\ x < y &\leftrightarrow x \leq y \wedge \neg(x = y) \end{aligned}$$

Find formulas of L that are equivalent in T' to the following formulas.

- $x + (-x) = 0$
- $x + (-y) < x$
- $-(x + y) < -x$

- The theory T of *fields* in $L = \langle +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ contains one axiom φ that is not open:

$$x \neq 0 \rightarrow (\exists y)(x \cdot y = 1).$$

We know that $T \models 0 \cdot y = 0$ and $T \models (x \neq 0 \wedge x \cdot y = 1 \wedge x \cdot z = 1) \rightarrow y = z$.

- Find a Skolem variant φ_S of φ with a new function symbol f .
 - Let T' be the theory obtained from T by replacing φ with φ_S . Is $T' \models \varphi$?
 - Can every model of T be *uniquely* expanded to a model of T' ?
- Let T denote the (previous) theory of fields. Let ψ be the formula $x \cdot y = 1 \vee (x = 0 \wedge y = 0)$.
 - Do the conditions of existence and uniqueness hold in T for $\psi(x, y)$ and the variable y ?
 - Find an extension T^* of T by definition of a function symbol f with the formula ψ .
 - Is T^* equivalent to the theory T' from the previous problem?
 - Find a formula of the original language L that is equivalent in T^* to the formula

$$f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$$

- Find Herbrand universe and an example of a Herbrand structure for the following languages.

- $L = \langle P, Q, f, a, b \rangle$ where P, Q are unary resp. binary relation symbols, f is a unary function symbol, a, b are constant symbols.
- $L = \langle P, f, g, a \rangle$ where P is a binary relation s., f, g unary function s., a constant symbol.

- Find Herbrand models for the following theories or find unsatisfiable conjunctions of ground instances of their axioms. Assume that the language has constant symbols a, b .

- (a) $T = \{\neg P(x) \vee Q(f(x), y), \neg Q(x, b), P(a)\}$
- (b) $T = \{\neg P(x) \vee Q(f(x), y), Q(x, b), P(a)\}$
- (c) $T = \{P(x, f(x)), \neg P(x, g(x))\}$
- (d) $T = \{P(x, f(x)), \neg P(x, g(x)), P(g(x), f(y)) \rightarrow P(x, y)\}$

9. Transform the following formulas to equisatisfiable formulas in clausal form.

- (a) $(\forall y)(\exists x)P(x, y)$
- (b) $\neg(\forall y)(\exists x)P(x, y)$
- (c) $\neg(\exists x)((P(x) \rightarrow P(a)) \wedge (P(x) \rightarrow P(b)))$
- (d) $(\exists x)(\forall y)(\exists z)(P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y) \rightarrow R(x, y))$

10. We know that

- (a) If a brick is on (another) brick, then it is not on the ground.
- (b) Every brick is on (another) brick or on the ground.
- (c) No brick is on a brick that is on (another) brick.

Express these facts in a first-order language and prove by resolution (via grounding) that if a brick is on another brick, the lower brick is on the ground.

11. We know that

- (a) Every barber shaves all who do not shave themselves.
- (b) No barber shaves someone who shaves himself.

Express these facts in a first-order language and prove by resolution (via grounding) that no barber exists.

12. Let $L = \langle U \rangle$ be with equality, where U is a unary relation symbol.

- (a) For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ find a formula φ that says “ $U(x)$ holds for exactly n elements x ”.
- (b) Is the theory $T = \{\varphi\}$ complete?
- (c) Is T ω -categorical?
- (d) Determine the isomorphism spectrum of T (up to countable cardinality).
- (e) Find some simple complete extension of T .
- (f) Find all (up to equivalence) simple complete extensions of T .

13. Let T be an extension of the theory $DeLO$ (i.e. of dense linear orders without ends) by a new constant symbol c (and no additional axioms).

- (a) Is T ω -categorical?
- (b) Is T complete?
- (c) Does the same hold for theory $DeLO^+$ (of dense linear orders with maximal element and without minimal element) instead of $DeLO$?