

## Exam test

February 9, 2016

1. Consider the following two propositions over a set of propositional letters  $\mathbb{P} = \{p, q, r, s, t\}$ :

$$\varphi : (p \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r),$$

$$\psi : (\neg s \vee \neg r) \wedge (\neg t \vee s) \wedge \neg p.$$

- (a) Decide whether  $\varphi \wedge \psi$  is satisfiable by using an implication graph. If yes, find some assignment satisfying  $\varphi \wedge \psi$ . (2b)
- (b) Find by tableau method the set  $M^{\mathbb{P}'}(\varphi)$  of all models of  $\varphi$  over  $\mathbb{P}' = \{p, q, r\}$ . (2b)
- (c) How many nonequivalent simple extensions the theory  $\{\varphi\}$  over  $\mathbb{P}'$  has? How many of them are complete? (2b)
- (d) Is the theory  $\{\varphi, \psi\}$  over  $\mathbb{P}$  a conservative extension of a theory  $\{\varphi\}$  over  $\mathbb{P}'$ ? Give an explanation. (2b)
2. In what follows by a *son/daughter* of a person  $x$  we mean a man/woman that has  $x$  as a parent, and by an *aunt from father's side* of a person  $x$  we mean a daughter of the father of the father of  $x$  or a daughter of the mother of the father of  $x$ . Consider the following statements:

- (i) *Both the father and the mother of each person are his(her) parents, the father is a man and the mother is a woman.*
- (ii) *If a woman has a son, then she also has a daughter.*

Prove by resolution that it follows:

- (iii) *Everyone has an aunt from father's side.*

More specifically:

- (a) Express the above statements as sentences  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$  of language  $L = \langle P, M, W, f, m \rangle$  without equality, where  $P$  is binary relation symbol and  $P(x, y)$  means that “ $x$  is a parent of  $y$ ”,  $M, W$  are unary relation symbols and  $M(x), W(x)$  means that “ $x$  is a man”, resp. “ $x$  is a woman”, and  $f, m$  are unary function symbols and  $f(x), m(x)$  represent “the father of  $x$ ”, resp. “the mother of  $x$ ”. (2b)
- (b) Applying skolemisation of sentences from (a) or their negations find an open theory  $T$  (possibly in an extended language) that is unsatisfiable if and only if  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\} \models \varphi_3$ . (2b)
- (c) By transformation of axioms of  $T$  into CNF find a theory  $T'$  equivalent to  $T$  such that all axioms of  $T'$  are clauses. Write  $T'$  in a clausal form. (2b)
- (d) Prove by resolution that  $T'$  is unsatisfiable. Present the resolution refutation by a resolution tree. Write down the unification used in each step. (4b)
- (e) Find a conjunction of ground instances of axioms of  $T'$  that is unsatisfiable. *Hint: use unifications from (d).* (2b)
3. Let  $T$  be a theory of language  $L = \langle f, g, a \rangle$  with equality where  $f, g, a$  are (respectively) binary, unary and nullary function symbols, with the following axioms

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, f(y, z)) &= f(f(x, y), z), \\ f(a, x) &= x \quad \wedge \quad f(x, a) = x, \\ f(x, g(x)) &= a \quad \wedge \quad f(g(x), x) = a. \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Is  $(\forall x)(\forall y)f(x, y) = f(y, x)$  valid / contradictory / independent in  $T$ ? Give an explanation. (2b)
- (b) Consider the structure  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4 = \langle \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, +, -, 0 \rangle$  of language  $L$  where  $+$ ,  $-$  are standard addition and (unary) minus modulo 4. Is the theory  $\text{Th}(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4)$  a simple extension of the theory  $T$ ? Give an explanation. (2b)
- (c) Find all substructures of  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_4$ . Are they models of  $T$ ? Give an explanation. (2b)
- (d) Let  $\underline{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle \mathbb{Q}, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$  be the structure of rational numbers with standard operations. Is there a reduct of  $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}$  that is a model of  $T$ ? Give an explanation. (2b)