

Predicate and Propositional Logic - Tutorial 10

Dec 6, 2022

1. Assume that

- (a) all guilty persons are liars,
- (b) at least one of the accused is also a witness,
- (c) no witness lies.

Prove by tableau method that not all accused are guilty.

2. Let $L(x, y)$ represent that “there is a flight from x to y ” and let $S(x, y)$ represent that “there is a connection from x to y ”. Assume that

- (a) From Prague you can flight to Bratislava, London and New York, and from New York to Paris,
- (b) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(L(x, y) \rightarrow L(y, x))$,
- (c) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(L(x, y) \rightarrow S(x, y))$,
- (d) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)(S(x, y) \wedge L(y, z) \rightarrow S(x, z))$.

Prove by tableau method that there is a connection from Bratislava to Paris.

3. Let φ, ψ be sentences or formulas in a free variable x , denoted by $\varphi(x), \psi(x)$. Find tableau proofs of the following formulas.

- (a) $(\exists x)(\varphi(x) \vee \psi(x)) \leftrightarrow (\exists x)\varphi(x) \vee (\exists x)\psi(x)$,
- (b) $(\forall x)(\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x)\varphi(x) \wedge (\forall x)\psi(x)$,
- (c) $(\varphi \vee (\forall x)\psi(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\varphi \vee \psi(x))$ where x is not free in φ ,
- (d) $(\varphi \wedge (\exists x)\psi(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\varphi \wedge \psi(x))$ where x is not free in φ .
- (e) $(\exists x)(\varphi \rightarrow \psi(x)) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow (\exists x)\psi(x))$ where x is not free in φ ,
- (f) $(\exists x)(\varphi \wedge \psi(x)) \rightarrow (\varphi \wedge (\exists x)\psi(x))$ where x is not free in φ ,
- (g) $(\exists x)(\varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\forall x)\varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi)$ where x is not free in ψ ,
- (h) $((\exists x)\varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi)$ where x is not free in ψ .

4. Let T^* be a theory with axioms of equality. Prove by tableau method that

- (a) $T^* \models x = y \rightarrow y = x$ (symmetry of =)
- (b) $T^* \models (x = y \wedge y = z) \rightarrow x = z$ (transitivity of =)

Hint: To show (a) apply the axiom of equality (iii) for $x_1 = x, x_2 = x, y_1 = y$ a $y_2 = x$, to show (b) apply (iii) for $x_1 = x, x_2 = y, y_1 = x$ a $y_2 = z$.

5. Let L be a language with equality containing a binary relation symbol \leq and let T be a theory of L such that T has an infinite model and the axioms of linear ordering are valid in T . Applying the compactness theorem show that T has a model \mathcal{A} with an *infinite decreasing chain*; that is, there are elements c_i for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ in A such that

$$\cdots < c_{n+1} < c_n < \cdots < c_0.$$

(This show that the notion of *well-ordering* is not definable in a first-order language.)